The Journal Of prosthetic dentistry

# **RESEARCH AND EDUCATION**

# Thermal and bioactive optimization of a unidose 3-step etch-and-rinse dentin adhesive

Pascal Magne, DMD, PhD<sup>a</sup> and Adriana Lemos Mori Ubaldini, DDS, MS<sup>b</sup>

The decrease in dentin-resin bond strength is still a challenge in adhesive dentistry. To date, 3step etch-and-rinse adhesive systems have been the most studied and reliable adhesives. A filled 3-step etch-and-rinse adhesive system (Optibond FL; Kerr Corp) seems to be the best representative of these adhesives, as it provides the highest microtensile bond strength (µTBS) and the greatest stability at 1 year.<sup>1-3</sup> One of the main tenets in dentin bond durability is the complete sealing of the demineralized collagen network by hydrophilic resin monomer infiltration.4-7 An unprotected interface can lose its integrity because of water sorptioninduced hydrolysis of the hydrophilic adhesive resin components<sup>8</sup> and through collagen fibril degradation by matrix metalloproteinases that are activated by acid etching.9

## ABSTRACT

**Statement of problem.** The limited durability of resin-dentin bonds is considered a major disadvantage of adhesive restorations. Therefore, clinical strategies have been developed to improve hybrid layer stability over time. These strategies require testing.

**Purpose.** The purpose of this in vitro study was to evaluate the influence of preheating and the inclusion of a bioactive glass in a unidose 3-step etch-and-rinse adhesive system on the adhesion of direct composite resin restorations.

**Material and methods.** Dentin disks from 80 molars were assigned to 8 groups (n=10): CG-T1/CG-T2: control group; PG-T1/PG-T2: adhesive preheated to 68 °C; BG-T1/BG-T2: 0.05 mg of Bioglass 45S5 (BAG) (particle size: 3  $\mu$ m) added to primer; PBG-T1/PBG-T2: adhesive and BAG-modified primer preheated to 68 °C. Sticks were fabricated for microtensile bond strength ( $\mu$ TBS) testing and were tested at 1 week (T1) and after 6 months (T2) of storage.  $\mu$ TBS data were analyzed by using 2-way ANOVA and the Tukey-Kramer post hoc test ( $\alpha$ =.05). Scanning electron microscopy was used to analyze the failure mode. Attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was used to quantitatively analyze the modifications to the chemical structure of the adhesive system from preheating and BAG inclusion.

**Results.** The mean bond strength values at 1 week were statistically different, with PG-T1 (69.8 ±7.8 MPa) superior to all other groups. CG-T1 (58.2 ±6.7 MPa), BG-T1 (60.7 ±4.4 MPa), and PBG-T1 (61.0 ±4.6 MPa) were not statistically different (*P*>.05). PG-T2 maintained the highest bond strength at 6 months (68.3 ±3.7 MPa), with no decrease in µTBS observed over time. Failure modes were mostly adhesive. Attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analysis reported that primer preheating caused solvent evaporation and revealed that preheating the bonding agent promoted the condensation reaction between the silane and adhesive fillers.

**Conclusions.** No decrease in  $\mu$ TBS was observed for any group after 6 months. Preheating the adhesive system (primer and bonding resin) significantly increased the 1-week and 6-month  $\mu$ TBS. Inclusion of BAG did not affect the bond strength. (J Prosthet Dent 2020;124:487.e1-e7)

Remineralization techniques represent a new approach to improving the stability of the hybrid layer. The inclusion of bioactive elements in dentin may provide a progressive dehydration mechanism that replaces

the extrafibrillar and intrafibrillar water and promotes the growth of hydroxyapatite crystals.<sup>10</sup> Different bonding techniques<sup>11,12</sup> and remineralization agents have been used to promote resin-dentin remineralization.<sup>13,14</sup>

Supported by the Brazilian funding agency CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior), Grant #88881.134022/2016-01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Tenured Associate Professor, Don & Sybil Harrington Foundation Professor of Esthetic Dentistry, Department of Restorative Sciences, Herman Ostrow School of Dentistry, University of Southern California (USC), Los Angeles, Calif.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Visiting scholar, Department of Restorative Sciences, Herman Ostrow School of Dentistry, University of Southern California (USC), Los Angeles, Calif; PhD student, Dental School, State University of Maringa (UEM), Maringa, Brazil.

# **Clinical Implications**

The preheating of restorative materials increases their physical and mechanical properties. Preheating an adhesive system improved silane reactivity and its interaction with adhesive filler compounds, resulting in higher bond strength values that remained stable after 6 months of specimen storage.

Nevertheless, the authors are unaware of attempts to include the gold standard bioactive material Bioglass 45S5 (BAG-45% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 24.5% Na<sub>2</sub>O, 24.5% CaO, 6%  $P_2O_2$  -%wt)<sup>15</sup> into an existing commercial adhesive system.

A trend in restorative dentistry is to use preheated dental materials<sup>16-20</sup> to improve their conversion rate,<sup>21</sup> facilitate their placement,<sup>22</sup> increase their flow,<sup>23-25</sup> and enhance their bonding performance.26,27 Simulating intraoral temperature is an important issue in validating in vitro studies; however, preheating etch-and-rinse and universal adhesives at 37 °C did not significantly modify dentin µTBS.<sup>28,29</sup> When 2-step etch-and-rinse adhesives were preheated at a higher temperature (50 °C), the µTBS values were affected by the vaporization temperature of their solvents, and acetone adhesive systems were affected because of the lower vaporization temperature of their solvent when compared with ethanol adhesive systems, which have a higher vaporization temperature.<sup>28,30</sup> Preheating logically requires the use of a sealed unidose system to prevent evaporation of the components. Preheating a filled 3-step etch-and-rinse adhesive system remains to be tested and could be significant in improving the seal of the hybrid layer by enhancing adhesive flowability and interdiffusion.

Therefore, the purpose of this in vitro study was to evaluate the influence of preheating and/or the inclusion of BAG in a unidose filled 3-step etch-and-rinse adhesive on dentin bond strength and the adhesive chemical compounds. The null hypotheses were that adhesive preheating and/or BAG inclusion would not improve the adhesion of direct restorations and that no difference would be found in  $\mu$ TBS after 6 months of specimen storage.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

On approval from the Ethical Review Committee of the University of Southern California, (protocol HS-17-00703), 80 extracted sound human molars were used. The molars were stored at 4 °C in thymol solution up to 1 month before use. Flat mid-coronal dentin surfaces were created by using a low-speed diamond saw (Isomet; Buehler Illinois Tool Works Inc). Any remaining enamel

was removed by finishing with 600-grit SiC paper (Gatorgrit; Ali) under water. The teeth were assigned to 8 experimental groups (n=10) (Table 1).

Bonding procedures were done with a 3-step etchand-rinse adhesive unidose system (Optibond FL; Kerr Corp). The dentin surfaces were treated with 35% phosphoric acid (Ultra Etch 35%; Ultradent Products, Inc) for 15 seconds and rinsed for 1 minute. After acid conditioning, the primer solution was lightly rubbed on the dentin for 30 seconds and air dried for 5 seconds. Adhesive was applied with a light brushing motion for 30 seconds and polymerized for 20 seconds at 1000 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> by using a light-emitting diode unit (VALO Curing Light; Ultradent Products, Inc). To reduce polymerization contraction stress, the specimens were restored by using 5 horizontal increments of composite resin of 1 mm in thickness (Filtek Z100; 3M) covering the entire dentin. Each layer was polymerized for 20 seconds at 1000 mW/ cm<sup>2</sup> (VALO Curing Light; Ultradent Products, Inc). Considering that bioactive agents need time to react with mineral tissue and create a hydroxyapatite layer,<sup>15</sup> all restored specimens were stored in distilled water at room temperature for 1 week (T1) or 6 months (T2, water replaced weekly) before testing.

The dentin bonding agent mode of use was performed according to the experimental groups (Table 1). In CG-T1 and CG-T2, the adhesive system was used without any modification. For PG-T1 and PG-T2, the adhesive components (primer and resin, Optibond FL; Kerr Corp) were preheated for 15 minutes in a composite resin preheater (Calset; AdDent Inc) at 68 °C just before application. The adhesive system of BG-T1 and BG-T2 was modified by adding 0.05 mg of BAG<sup>15</sup> powder to the primer. In PBG-T1 and PBG-T2, the adhesive system received both modifications, its primer was modified by adding 0.05 mg of BAG, and both primer and bond components were preheated as previously indicated.

Each restored specimen was sectioned in an occlusalapical direction through the tooth-restoration interface to produce approximately 0.9-mm-thick slices. Each slice was sectioned in a mesial-distal direction to obtain dentin-restoration sticks with a cross-sectional area of approximately 0.9 mm<sup>2</sup>, obtaining 10 specimens per tooth. Fractured surfaces were measured by using a digital caliper (Zaas Precision; Amatools Co). The resindentin sticks were attached to the grips of a testing jig by using cyanoacrylate cement (Super Bonder; Henkel Loctite Corp) and were tested in a microtensile tester machine (Bisco Inc) at a loading rate of 53 N per minute until failure. The maximum load at fracture (N) and the cross-sectional area of each failed stick was used to calculate  $\mu$ TBS values in MPa.<sup>31</sup>

The mean microtensile bond strength from the 10 sticks was used as a single measurement, yielding 10 measurements per group. Statistical analyses were

### Table 1. Experimental groups and mode of use of adhesive system

	Groups							
Experimental variables	CG-T1	CG-T2	PG-T1	PG-T2	BG-T1	BG-T2	PBG-T1	PBG-T2
Preheating for 15 min at 68 $^\circ C$	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Addition of 0.05 mg of Bioglass 45S5 to primer	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Delay before µTBS testing	1 week	6 months						

CG-T1, control group tested after 1 week; CG-T2, control group tested after 6 months, PG-T1, group with preheated adhesive tested after 1 week;

PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive tested after 6 months, BG-T1, group with Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; BG-T2, group with Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 6 months; PBG-T1, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PBG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 6 months.

#### Table 2. Microtensile bond strength values (MPa) according to experimental group

	Groups							
Experimental results	CG-T1	CG-T2	PG-T1	PG-T2	BG-T1	BG-T2	PBG-T1	PBG-T2
μTBS results	58.2 ±6.7 A	60.5 ±5.3 A	69.8 ±7.7 B	68.3 ±3.7 B	60.7 ±4.4 A	64.0 ±3.2 AB	61.0 ±4.6 A	65.5 ±6.5 AB
Premature failures/tested sticks	(5/100)	(3/100)	(3/100)	(3/100)	(8/100)	(6/100)	(4/100)	(8/100)

First row indicates µTBS mean ±standard deviation in MPa, different uppercase letters indicate significant statistical difference (*P*<.05). Second row reports pretesting failures and number of sticks tested. µTBS, microtensile bond strength; CG-T1, control group tested after 1 week; CG-T2, control group tested after 6 months, PG-T1, group with preheated adhesive tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive tested after 6 months, BG-T1, group with Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PBG-T1, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PBG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 6 months.

performed by using a statistical software program (R statistical software R i386 v3.0.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Data normality was confirmed by using the Shapiro-Wilk test (P=.735), and the homogeneity of variances was determined by using the Bartlett test (P=.127). Bond strength data obtained from the 8 experimental groups were analyzed by using 2-way ANOVA (dentin bonding strategy and storage time) and the Tukey-Kramer post hoc test ( $\alpha$ =.05) with a statistical software program (R statistical software R i386 v3.0.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

The failure modes of 5 fractured sticks from each tooth were evaluated by using a scanning electron microscope (Superscan SS-550; Shimadzu Corp) at ×100 magnification. The fractured surfaces were placed on aluminum disks and sputter-coated with a gold-palladium alloy (Bal-Tec; Capovani Brothers Inc). Failure patterns were classified in the following categories<sup>32</sup>: adhesive failure when the fracture site was located between the adhesive and dentin; mixed failure if the fracture involved the dentin-adhesive interface, including cohesive failure of dentin and composite resin; or cohesive failure in the substrate (underlying dentin or overlying composite resin). Chi-square analyses were performed to compare the failure modes in all the groups (Excel; Microsoft Corp).

For the molecular composition analysis, attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) analysis was performed by using an FTIR spectrophotometer (Bruker Optik GmbH) equipped with a diamond ATR accessory. The chemical structures of the control, preheated, BAG-modified, and preheated BAGmodified primer solutions, the control, and preheated adhesive solutions were analyzed. Adhesive specimen disks were prepared (n=5) by polymerizing 1.8 mL of control and preheated adhesive on a polyester strip for 20 seconds at 1000 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> (VALO Curing Light; Ultradent Products, Inc). Spectra of the primer specimens were obtained by dropping 1.8 mL of each primer into a concave ATR-FTIR device. The ATR-FTIR spectra from the BAG powder was also obtained. Spectra were collected in the range of 4000 to 600 cm<sup>-1</sup> at 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution for a total of 128 scans. All spectra were submitted to baseline correction. Visual qualitative analysis was done for each spectra range to identify possible chemical modifications in the primer and the adhesive compounds. For this purpose, the spectra of pure primer and pure adhesive were used as controls.

### RESULTS

 $\mu$ TBS results are presented in Table 2. The 2-way ANOVA (Table 3) indicated a significant effect for the dentin bonding strategy but not the storage time. The interaction term was not significant. The preheated group (PG-T1) provided significantly stronger bond strength when compared with the other groups. CG-T1, BG-T1, and PBG-T1 did not differ from each other. PG-T2 maintained the highest bond strength at 6 months, and no decrease in  $\mu$ TBS was observed for any group after 6 months.

The failure mode results, determined by scanning electron microscope, are presented in Table 4. Chi-square analysis was not significant. Most failures in all tested groups were adhesive failures. The types of failures occurring in the experimental groups are illustrated in Figure 1.

Table 3. Two-way ANOVA

Source	df	Type III Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Р
Adhesive system modification	3	984.96	328.32	10.63	<.001
Storage time	1	96.97	96.97	3.14	.081
Interaction	3	105.29	35.09	1.13	.340

P<.05 indicates significant difference. df, degrees of freedom; F, F-statistic.

The mean ATR-FTIR spectra for all the experimental conditions of primer and bonding agent solutions are shown in Figure 2. Primer preheating resulted in the presence of 2 new peaks, one at 1230 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH, aromatic ether)<sup>33</sup> and the other at 1125 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O stretch, secondary alcohol).<sup>33</sup> When Bioglass was included in the primer solution at room temperature, its compounds were evidenced in the primer spectra in the wavelength range of 920 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 840 cm<sup>-1</sup> (PO<sub>4</sub> v3 antisymmetric stretching mode).<sup>34</sup> These alterations were not found in the preheated primer with Bioglass. Preheating the adhesive resin increased intensity in the wavelength range of 1210 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 850 cm<sup>-1</sup> (peaks attributed to Si-O vibrations).<sup>35-37</sup>

### DISCUSSION

The results of the present study led to the partial rejection of the first null hypothesis because the preheated specimens (PG-T1/PG-T2) presented significantly higher  $\mu$ TBS results when compared with the control (CG-T1/ CG-T2) and remineralization groups (BG-T1/BG-T2 and PBG-T1/PBG-T2). The second null hypothesis was not rejected because storage time did not have an effect on  $\mu$ TBS. Chemical analysis supported the  $\mu$ TBS results because the preheating treatment modified the adhesive and primer solutions and improved their interactions with dentin.

Loguercio et al<sup>28</sup> reported that preheating an ethanol and water 2-step etch-and-rinse adhesive at 50 °C improved the quality of the hybrid layer by increasing the adhesive penetration and reducing the thickness of the adhesive layer. However, the use of a preheated solution did not affect either the adhesive degree of conversion or resin-dentin  $\mu$ TBS.<sup>28,30</sup> In the present study, preheating an ethanol 3-step etch-and-rinse filled adhesive system at a higher temperature (68 °C) caused a significant increase in resin-dentin  $\mu$ TBS. This difference may have been because of the difference in the preheated temperature tested, the adhesive system strategy, the duration of the preheating treatment, and the use of sealed unidose containers.

Adhesive solvent evaporation is a critical clinical step. When solvent evaporation is too fast, the monomer infiltration is affected, decreasing bond strength values.<sup>38</sup> However, if solvent remains in the adhesive layer, it can compromise the structural integrity of the hybrid

Table 4. Failure mode according to experimental group

	Groups									
Failure	CG-T1	CG-T2	PG-T1	PG-T2	BG-T1	BG-T2	PBG-T1	PBG-T2		
modes	Frequency (%)									
Adhesive	33 (66)	31 (62)	35 (70)	35 (70)	31 (62)	35 (70)	25 (50)	36 (72)		
Mixed	12 (24)	18 (36)	11 (22)	14 (28)	15 (30)	13 (26)	22 (44)	12 (24)		
Cohesive	5 (10)	1 (2)	4 (8)	1 (2)	4 (8)	2 (4)	3 (6)	2 (4)		

 $\mu TBS$  failure modes , n=100 sticks for each group. No significant difference in failure mode between experimental groups. CG-T1, control group tested after 1 week; CG-T2, control group tested after 6 months, PG-T1, group with preheated adhesive tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive tested after 6 months, BG-T1, group with Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; BG-T2, group with Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 1 week; PG-T2, group with preheated adhesive and Bioglass 45S5 added to primer tested after 6 months.

layer.<sup>39,40</sup> Ethanol is the principal solvent of the tested adhesive system, and as its vaporization temperature is 78.3 °C,<sup>16</sup> preheating to 68 °C was effective in partly evaporating the ethanol without removing it completely.<sup>20,38</sup> The use of a sealed unidose adhesive system is pivotal to the preheating technique. The small single-use rocket-shaped containers of primer and adhesive of the Optibond FL system can be easily stored in the heating device and are completely sealed. When compared with a bottle system,<sup>30</sup> the unidose system prevents repetitive material heating that can modify the adhesive solvent composition.

Previous studies have preheated the primer and adhesive solution for 2 hours before its use<sup>28,30</sup>; however, according to the advice of the manufacturer, it is safe to preheat the primer for up to 20 minutes and the adhesive for less than 2 hours before application. Preheated materials, including restorative composite resins, can lose heat rapidly during the transfer to the mouth.<sup>21</sup> The temperature drop should be minimized by reducing the time between removal from the heating device and application in the mouth. When considering that the temperature of primer and adhesive drops during transfer and application, preheating should not have a negative effect on the pulp.<sup>21</sup>

The present experiment reported that the addition of bioactive particles to the primer did not affect the 6 months µTBS of the 3-step etch-and-rinse adhesive, even when combined with preheating. The remineralization process requires an exchange of ions (Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>,  $PO_4^{3-}$ , F<sup>-</sup>) from the Bioglass silicate network to the surrounding dentin fluid.<sup>12,14</sup> This process induces calcium phosphate (CaP) precipitation and its subsequent crystallization into hydroxyapatite at the tissue surface.<sup>13,15</sup> This process produces electrostatic, ionic, and/or hydrogen bonding between the demineralized collagen and Bioglass silanols, which in turn may reduce collagen degradation.<sup>10,12</sup> The limited µTBS improvement in the present study might be explained by encapsulation of the bioactive glass in the resin network and insufficient storage time.



**Figure 1.** Scanning electron photomicrographs of microtensile specimens (Original magnification ×100). A, Adhesive failure in hybrid layer. B, Mixed failure in hybrid layer (*black arrow*), cohesive at dentin surface (*white arrow*), and cohesive at adhesive system (*gray arrow*). C, Cohesive failure at composite resin. D, Cohesive failure at dentin surface.

The findings of ATR-FTIR analysis revealed that preheating influenced the hydrophilic (primer) and hydrophobic (adhesive resin) parts of the adhesive and thus confirmed the µTBS results. The evaporation of primer solvents has been reported to depend on the number of hydrogen bonding sites between the polymer and the solvent. It is harder to volatize the solvent when there are many hydrogen bonds.<sup>41</sup> The appearance of the new peaks attributed to ether  $(1230 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{33}$  and secondary alcohol  $(1125 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{33}$ may be related to an alcohol intramolecular dehydration, suggesting an increase in alcohol solvent evaporation when the primer was submitted to a higher temperature.<sup>38</sup> A contribution of BAG phosphate compounds (920 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 840 cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>34</sup> was evidenced in the spectra of the BAG modified primer, although this modification was not found in the preheated BAG modified primer.

The tested adhesive system is filled with a silanated barium aluminoborosilicate glass filler. Silanization of filler particles enhances the affinity of the inorganic particles with the resin monomers, improving the physical and mechanical properties of composite resins.<sup>27</sup> Silane heat treatment has been shown to enhance the bonding performance of silanized ceramic restorations and makes the condensation reaction more effective because it promotes the formation of a covalent bond between the silica and silane.14,35 In addition, silane heat treatment as appears to reduce its hydrophilic constituents and consequently improve the bonding between composite resin and zirconia ceramic.<sup>42</sup> In the present study, the preheating treatment of the bonding agent modified the ATR-FTIR spectra range attributed to silanized SiO<sub>2</sub> particles (1210 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 850 cm<sup>-1</sup>),<sup>43</sup> indicating an increase in its silane hydrolysis and a consequent improvement in the condensation reaction between silane and the adhesive fillers.<sup>27,42</sup> From the ART-FTIR findings, the higher bond strength values found when adhesive was preheated can be



Figure 2. ATR-FTIR spectra of different modes of use, A, Bonding agent, B, Primer. ATR-FTIR, Attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy; BAG, Bioglass 4555.

attributed to the chemical modifications to primer and adhesive compounds.

Limitations of the present study include its in vitro design by using extracted teeth. Dentin temperature and humidity seem to influence the  $\mu$ TBS results; additionally, adhesive permeability into dentin tissue can be modified when the connection with the pulp tissue is

lost. These limitations could have been minimized if the teeth had been restored before their extraction. Further research is indicated to determine the best strategy (sequence, method) for the application of BAG to benefit a 3-step total etch-and-rinse adhesive. The high values of all  $\mu$ TBS at 6 months is encouraging and calls for further analysis of the stability of those values.

### CONCLUSIONS

Based on the findings of this in vitro study, the following conclusions were drawn:

- Preheating of an unidose filled 3-step etch-andrinse adhesive resulted in significantly higher 1week and 6-month μTBS.
- 2. Inclusion of BAG in the adhesive primer component, both at room and preheated temperature did not yield an improvement in μTBS.
- 3. None of the groups reported a decrease in  $\mu$ TBS values after 6 months of storage.
- 4. Adhesive system preheating induced primer solvent evaporation and improved the condensation reaction between silane and bonding agent fillers.

### REFERENCES

- De Munck J, Mine A, Poitevin A, Van Ende A, Cardoso MV, Van Landuyt KL, et al. Meta-analytical review of parameters involved in dentin bonding. J Dent Res 2012;91:351-7.
- Masarwa N, Mohamed A, Abou-Rabii I, Abu Zaghlan R, Steier L. Longevity of self-etch dentin bonding adhesives compared to etch-and-rinse dentin bonding adhesives: a systematic review. J Evid Based Dent Pract 2016;16: 96-106.
- Pereira JR, Pamato S, Vargas M, Junior NF. State of the art of dental adhesive systems. Curr Drug Deliv 2018;15:610-9.
- 4. Orellana N, Ramírez R, Roig M, Giner L, Mercade M, Durán F, et al. Comparative study of the microtensile bond strength of three different total etch adhesives with different solvents to wet and dry dentin (in vitro test). Acta Odontol Latinoam 2009;22:47-56.
- Tay FR, Pashley DH. Water treeing-a potential mechanism for degradation of dentin adhesives. Am J Dent 2003;16:6-12.
- Reis A, Chibinski AC, Stanislawczuk R, Wambier DS, Grande RH, Loguercio AD. The role of dentin moisture in the degradation of resin-dentin interfaces under clinical and laboratory conditions. J Am Dent Assoc 2012;143:e29-36.
- Abedin F, Ye Q, Camarda K, Spencer P. Impact of light intensity on the polymerization kinetics and network structure of model hydrophobic and hydrophilic methacrylate based dental adhesive resin. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 2016;104:1666-78.
- Ito S, Hashimoto M, Wadgaonkar B, Svizero N, Carvalho RM, Yiu C, et al. Effects of resin hydrophilicity on water sorption and changes in modulus of elasticity. Biomaterials 2005;26:6449-59.
- 9. Pashley DH, Tay FR, Yiu C, Hashimoto M, Breschi L, Carvalho RM, et al. Collagen degradation by host-derived enzymes during aging. J Dent Res 2004;83:216-21.
- Niu L, Zhang W, Pashley DH, Breschi L, Mao J, Chen J, et al. Biomimetic remineralization of dentin. Dent Mater 2014;31:77-96.
- Tay FR, Pashley DH. Biomimetic remineralization of resin-bonded acidetched dentin. J Dent Res 2009;88:719-24.
   Profeta AC, Mannocci F, Foxton RM, Thompson I, Watson TF, Sauro S.
- Profeta AC, Mannocci F, Foxton RM, Thompson I, Watson TF, Sauro S. Bioactive effects of a calcium/sodium phosphosilicate on the resin-dentine interface: a microtensile bond strength, scanning electron microscopy, and confocal microscopy study. Eur J Oral Sci 2012;120:353-62.
- Sauro S, Osorio R, Watson TF, Toledano M. Therapeutic effects of novel resin bonding systems containing bioactive glasses on mineral-depleted areas within the bonded-dentine interface. J Mater Sci Mater Med 2012;23: 1521-32.
- Crovace MC, Souza MT, Chinaglia CR, Peitl O, Zanotto ED. Biosilicate®–A multipurpose, highly bioactive glass-ceramic. In vitro, in vivo and clinical trials. J Non-Cryst Solids 2016;432:90-100.
- Hench LL, Splinter RJ, Allen WC, Greenlee TK. Bonding mechanisms at the interface of ceramic prosthetic materials. J Bio Mater Research 1971;5:117-41.
- Abate PF, Rodriguez VI, Macchi RL. Evaporation of solvent in one-bottle adhesives. J Dent 2000;28:437-40.
- Daronch M, Rueggeberg FA, Hall G, De Goes MF. Effect of composite temperature on in vitro intrapulpal temperature rise. Dent Mater 2007;23: 1283-8.
- Klein-Júnior CA, Zander-Grande C, Amaral R, Stanislawczuk R, Garcia EJ, Baumhardt-Neto R, et al. Evaporating solvents with a warm air-stream: effects on adhesive layer properties and resin-dentin bond strengths. J Dent 2008;36:618-25.

- de Carvalho RF, Cotes C, Kimpara ET, Leite FP, Özcan M. Heat treatment of pre-hydrolyzed silane increases adhesion of phosphate monomer-based resin cement to glass ceramic. Braz Dent J 2015;26:44-9.
   Taguchi K, Hosaka K, Ikeda M, Kishikawa R, Foxton R, Nakajima M, et al.
- Taguchi K, Hosaka K, Ikeda M, Kishikawa R, Foxton R, Nakajima M, et al. The effect of warm air-blowing on the microtensile bond strength of one-step self-etch adhesives to root canal dentin. J Prosthodont Res 2018;62:330-6.
- **21.** Rueggeberg FA, Daronch M, Browning WD, De Goes MF. In vivo temperature measurement: tooth preparation and restoration with preheated resin composite. J Esthet Restor Dent 2010;22:314-22.
- Fróes-Salgado NR, Silva LM, Kawano Y, Francci C, Reis A, Loguercio AD. Composite pre-heating: effects on marginal adaptation, degree of conversion and mechanical properties. Dent Mater 2010;26:908-14.
- Blalock JS, Holmes RG, Rueggeberg FA. Effect of temperature on unpolymerized composite resin film thickness. J Prosthet Dent 2006;96:424-32.
- 24. Pazinatto FB, Marquezini L Jr, Atta MT. Influence of temperature on the spreading velocity of simplified-step adhesive systems. J Esthet Restor Dent 2006;18:38-45.
- 25. Goulart M, Borges Veleda B, Damin D, Bovi Ambrosano GM, Coelho de Souza FH, Erhardt MCG. Preheated composite resin used as a luting agent for indirect restorations: effects on bond strength and resin-dentin interfaces. Int J Esthet Dent 2018;13:86-97.
- Lin CT, Lee SY, Keh ES, Dong DR, Huang HM, Shih YH. Influence of silanization and filler fraction on aged dental composites. J Oral Rehabil 2000;27:919-26.
- Hooshmand T, van Noort R, Keshvad A. Bond durability of the resin-bonded and silane treated ceramic surface. Dent Mater 2002;18:179-88.
- Loguercio AD, Salvalaggio D, Piva AE, Klein-Júnior CA, Accorinte Mde L, Meier MM, et al. Adhesive temperature: effects on adhesive properties and resin-dentin bond strength. Oper Dent 2011;36:293-303.
- Sutil BGDS, Susin AH. Dentin pretreatment and adhesive temperature as affecting factors on bond strength of a universal adhesive system. J Appl Oral Sci 2017;25:533-40.
- Reis A, Klein-Júnior CA, Accorinte ML, Grande RH, Santos CB, Loguercio AD. Effects of adhesive temperature on the early and 6-month dentin bonding. J Dent 2009;37:791-8.
- 31. Magne P, So WS, Cascione D. Immediate dentin sealing supports delayed restoration placement. J Prosthet Dent 2007;98:166-74.
- Ertuğrul F, Türkün M, Türkün LS, Toman M, Cal E. Bond strength of different dentin bonding systems to fluorotic enamel. J Adhes Dent 2009;11:299-303.
- Coates J. In: Meyers RA, editor. Interpretation of infrared spectra, a practical approach in encyclopedia of analytical chemistry. R.A. Meyers ed. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd; 2006. p. 1-23.
- 34. Faure J, Drevet R, Lemelle A, Ben Jaber N, Tara A, El Btaouri H, et al. A new sol-gel synthesis of 45S5 bioactive glass using an organic acid as catalyst. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl 2015;47:407-12.
- Eaton P, Holmes P, Yarwood J. In situ and ex situ FTIR-ATR and raman microscopic studies of organosilane hydrolysis and the effect of hydrolysis on silane diffusion through a polymeric film. J App Polym Sci 2001;82: 2016-26.
- Amooghin E, Omidkhah M, Kargari A. The effects of aminosilane grafting on NaY zeolite–Matrimid®5218 mixed matrix membranes for CO2/CH4 separation. J Memb Sci 2015;490:364-79.
- Pilo R, Brosh T, Geron V, Levartovsky S, Eliades G. Effect of silane reaction time on the repair of a nanofilled composite using tribochemical treatment. J Adhes Dent 2016;18:125-34.
- Vale MR, Afonso FA, Borges BC, Freitas AC Jr, Farias-Neto A, Almeida EO, et al. Preheating impact on the degree of conversion and water sorption/solubility of selected single-bottle adhesive systems. Oper Dent 2014;39:637-43.
- Miyazaki M, Platt JA, Onose H, Moore BK. Influence of dentin primer application methods on dentin bond strength. Oper Dent 1996;21:167-72.
- Hashimoto M, Ito S, Tay FR, Svizero NR, Šano Ĥ, Kaga M, et al. Fluid movement across the resin-dentin interface during and after bonding. J Dent Res 2004;83:843-8.
- Yiu CK, Pashley EL, Hiraishi N, King NM, Goracci C, Ferrari M, et al. Solvent and water retention in dental adhesive blends after evaporation. Biomaterials 2005;26:6863-72.
- Ha JY, Son JS, Kim KH, Kwon TY. Simple heat treatment of zirconia ceramic pre-treated with silane primer to improve resin bonding. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 2015;15:587-90.

#### **Corresponding author:**

Dr Adriana Lemos Mori Ubaldini Departament of Restorative Dentistry State University of Maringa Avenida Mandacaru, 1550 Maringá, PR, 87080-000 BRAZIL Email: adrianaubaldini@gmail.com

Copyright © 2020 by the Editorial Council for *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2020.03.011